3

Previous references.

1907-8
(Sep: Revs)

File No. 56-02

Serial Nos. 1—4.

Later references.
B Nov 12 Sl 1-4 S.R 9-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B. for May 08.

SUBJECT.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy Excise revenue of Ittigapeperaru goa village, Hakole Hobli, Doddaballapur Tq.

✓ 1) From the Excise Commr no. 5293/357 dt 10.3.08 submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of the village in ques.

✓ 2) To the Excise Commr no. 158/16-01 dt 20.8.08. Points out certain defect in the enquiry of the case & calls for additional information on certain points connected with the same.

3) From the Excise Commr no. 8429/15 dt 4/08 submits the records of the case & states that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the village.

P.T.O

In re Excise Commr's & Comptroller
No. 2 5 2 4 5 - 6 & 11. 5. 08
D disallows the Surveyor's claim to the 600
Excise revenue of the Village.

G.R.S. 11]

1907-08.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Sep Rev)

NOTES.

SR File. No. 56-02

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Attigalupura Jodi village, Kakolehobli, Doddballapur Taluq

From

The Excise Commissioner

No. 5499/357 Dated 10/3/08 Received 11/3/08.

Diary No. 365	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits, with his opinion, the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of the village in question.

2.	~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~ To the Excise Comr. no. 158 Ex 16-07-8 dated 20/3/08. Points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case and calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

8R File No. 56 of 1902

Serial No.	
4.	To the Excise Commissioner & the Comptroller No 5845-6 & 11.5.08 States that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy of the village but only ¼ of the grove farm rent.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB-50,00017—3-07

No 5499 Cuelfile 357 Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 9th March 1903
10

From

P. N. Krishnaiya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. B.L.
Secty. to the Govt of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen and Rev Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Itigolpura Jodi village, Kakolé Hobli, Doddaballapur taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investig. Officers' findings that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise revenue from Toddy, as it is not conceded by Sanad or by Inam Settlement; and as its enjoyment has not been proved by the Jodidar. Accordingly only 1/5 of the rent of the "Grove farm" of the village is payable to him under Rule 6 in Government Proceedings No 2014-83 / R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

P. N. Krishnaiya

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

107

E4365 10010

1903

FROM P. R. Purnaiya Esq. Bar
Excise Commissioner
&c. &c. &c.

SA. 56-02-1

To H. V. Sanjivarayya Esq. Asst. to the
Secty. to the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen. and Rev. Dept.

Dated 4/8/03

Despatched 7/8/03

Received

General No. 4771

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits with opinion the
record of enquiry relating
to the Toddy revenue of
Higolpura Sali village,
Kakole Hobli, Do. district
&c. &c.

Encl
11 s o's

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

The marginally noted letters from the Excise Commissio

er in Mysore submitting the records of enquiring into the claims to excise revenue of the Inams noted in the Margin.

Letter No.5866/366 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy Revenue of Gidhanhalli village, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.6[illegible]5/369 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue ofCavipalya village, Kolarrangala Taluk.

Letter No.4900/350 -do- -do- Aradesahalli, Devanhalli taluk.

Letter No.4909/350 -do- -do- Kolhalli, Devanhalli Taluk.

Letter No.5001/359 -do- -do- Ar[illegible]sanhalli, Kodiamchalli, -do- Taluk.

Letter No.5461/359 -do- -do- Mahantalingapura, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.5460/357 -do- -do- Itagalpura, Doddaballapur Taluk.

Letter No.5400/304 -do- -do- Chakanahalli, -do- -do-

Letter No.5063/365 -do- -do- [illegible]amsandra, Anekal Taluk.

Order thereon No.150/Ex.F.16-01.

Dated, Bangalore, ~~April 1900.~~ 28/8/03

In every case read above the investigating officer, Mr D.J. Kumarasami Naik, B.A., records the findings that the claimants are entitled to $\frac{1}{5}$ oftree-rent up to 96-99 and they are entitled to full tree rent for the succeeding years.

2. Government regret to observe that the decision is incorrect and the enquiry is incomplete. The first portion of the decision ~~[illegible]~~ being under rule 4 of Government Proceeding No.2076-83/A.F.196-98-1, dated the 2nd August 1901, ~~[illegible]~~ grove Farm rent towards tree rent up to 96-97 alone ought to have been awarded.

3. The needful information for the second finding of the investigatingofficer which falls under rules 8 and 17 of the Proceeding quoted above, was not before him; and hence it is a decision based on no evidence.

4. The Police Commissioner states he concurs with the investigation officer; but awards 4/5 of Servo Term rent only under rule 3 without noticing the other finding of the latter.

5. The decision and the enquiry being thus defective, Government direct that the records of enquiry be remitted to the investigating officer for completing the enquiry and for recording a correct and full decision.

Sd/ H. V. Nanjundayya

Secretary to Government.

To

The Police Commissioner in Mysore.

T.T.

D. 3963

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

No. 8842

Dated Bangalore, 15th April 1908.

From

H. H. Sparkes Esquire.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to t the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Ittigalupura village in the Dodballapur Taluk together with a copy of the Inam register of enquiry of the village I have the honor to state that I concur in the opinions recorded both by the investigating Officer and the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not entitled to the Excise Revenue from toddy of the village.

2. The village has only a date grove but no toddy shop. Neither in the sannad dated 10th July 1811 alienating the village nor in the Nirup dated 1815 of Fouzdar Lingaraj Urs has the right been conceded At the Inam settlement which was conducted in the year, 1879 all rights to Sayer, Abkari and Forests have been reserved to Government Nor is the claim supported by any proof of enjoyment. In these circum-stances the Inamdar is entitled only to tree-rent from licensed contractors whenever trees are tapped by them in his village. The amount to be paid to the Inamdar will be regulated by Rules 4,8 and 16 of the Government Order of the 2nd. August 1901.

3. A refund bill for the amount payable to the Inamdar will be submitted for the sanction of Government on receipt of orders on the claim. Copies of the marginally noted correspondence are submitted for

Bangalore District.

No.A203

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Dated. 26/27th December 1907.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 98, dated 19/21st September 1905, returning certain files of records relating to the enquiry into the toddy claims, I have the honor to retransmit herewith the files of the marginally noted villages received with the Dodballapur Sub Division, Assistant Commissioner's letter No. 1146, dated 23rd April 1907 (copy of which is enclosed) and to state as follows:-

The amounts due to the Inamdars of the several villages quoted above under Rule 4 of Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F.166-9, dated 2nd August 1907, are recorded in the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumaraswami Naick.

2. It would appear from the records that these Inamdars have been allowed to lease out and the toddy trees themselves subsequent to 1896-97, and as such they are not entitled to get anything on account of rent during the year 1897-98 in which priiminary tree tax system was introduced and the subsequent years in which the tree tax system was brought into force.

3. The groves of the Inam villages of Ardesahalli, Arsanahalli, Addanahalli and Gollahalli in the Devanhalli

Taluk

1. Gattipalya, Nelamangala Taluk.
2. Chikkanahalli } Doddaballapur Taluk
3. Uttapalapura }
4. Ardesahalli } Devanhalli Taluk
5. Arasanahalli }
6. Gollahalli }

Taluk and Gavepalya in the Nelamangala Taluk were not sold independently but sold clubbed together with other villages from 1892-93 to 1894-95. Thus the amounts awarded for these years in the calenders of these villages appear to have been arrived at by the ~~appointment~~ apportionment of the grove farms rent among the villages whose groves were sold together. he other files or records relating to the villages of giddenahalli, Kantalingapura and Komasundra referred to in the letter under reference will be submitted soon after their receipt from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub Division.

I have the honor to be etc.,

(Sd) D Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y.

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

From,

K. R. Sreenevasiengar Esquire,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,

Doddaballapur.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated 16th April 1907.

No. 1146.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 127, dated 16th October 1905, forwarding for further enquiry the papers relating to the claims of today revenue of the Inamdars of the marginally noted villages, I have the honor to report as follows:-

* Ganipalya Nelamangala Taluk
† Chikkanahalli
‡ Hagalguna } Doddaballapur Taluk
§ Arbesahalli
Arasanahalli
Peddanahalli
Gollahalli } Devanhalli Taluk

From the enquiry held by my predecessors, it is clear that the Inamdars of none of the 6 villages in question are ~~liable~~ entitled to the today revenue of these villages. The only question for determination is the amount of refund to which these Inamdars may be entitled under Rules 4, 6 and 17 of the Rules published in Government Order No. 2074-83/R.P. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

2. As regards the claims of the Inamdars for 1/5 of the grove farm rent under rule 4, the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumaraswami Naick give the grove farm rents in the villages in question realized during the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 and the 1/5 portion thereof refundable to the Inamdars. I am unable to make out wherefrom the figures given in the calendars for the grove farm rentals during the

above

above five years were obtained. I see that these figures differ slightly from those furnished to me by the Amildars to whom I made a reference in the matter. I may also add that I have not been able to obtain from the District office full information regarding the grove farm rentals realized during the five years in question.

3. As regards the claims under Rules 8 and 17 notices were issued to the Inamdars and such of them as appeared were heard in support of their claims; none of them could give definite and accurate information which would entitle them to any refund of tree rent. It is ascertained from Deputy Commissioner's yadi No. 158, dated 9th November 1906, and also from the reports of the Amildars that from the years 1897-98 the date trees in the Inam villages in question were leased out by the Inamdars themselves and that Government did not place any restriction on their so leasing out the trees or recover any tree rent from trees leased out in these villages. Hence no refund is due to the Inamdars of these villages towards tree rent under Rules 16 and 17 either for the year 1897-98 when the preliminary tree tax system was brought into force or during the subsequent years when the tree tax system was fully into operation.

4. I desire to invite your attention to the statement submitted by the Amildar of Bovanhalli wherefrom it is seen that a sum of Rs. 350-3-8 has already been advanced to the Inamdars of Gollahalli though the amount refundable to him under rule 4 amounts to only Rs. 98-6-5.

5. The records received with the moo under report as also the records of further enquiries held in this office are herewith submitted as peyforist.

I have the honor to be etc.
(Sd) Thumboo Chetty,
for Senior Assistant Commissioner,
Dodballapur Division.

" C O P Y."

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

Mysore.

From D. Devaraj Urs Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To R.N. Sumanya Esq. B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 16th September 1908

Received

General No. A 42
No.

Enclosure No. Records

His docket No. 30 dated 19th August 1908, returning the file of records for inquiry into the claims to the toddy revenue of Jodi Igalapura, Doddaballapura taluk for resubmission with the Deputy Commissioner's opinion on the findings of the investigating Officer

---

In returning the enclosures of the above I have the honour to state that the undersigned has nothing to

to add to his report of the Investigating Officer

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

for Excise Commissioner

Enquired into by B. J. Ramaswamy Naik 12 Aug

Bangalore destrict

Grounds of decision	Amount awarded if any
(1) No rules framed under the Factory Act 1891 to calculate the Standard Wages of the workers employed in the Cotton Ginning factories	The amount to be awarded for the years 92-93 & 96-97 as per accounts as per Appendix A
(2) Owing to heavy rains in the month of August 1896 the Ginning factories could not work for 15 days & hence the wages for the month of August 1896 could not be paid	92-93 = 2-4-4 93-94 = 2-8-5 94-95 = 1-8-5 95-96 = 1-8-0 96-97 = 1-0-0 The amount to be awarded for the years 1893-94 & 1894-95 is therefore Rs. 1-8-5

Enquired into by B. J. Ramaswamy Naik

Waddallapur Taluk		Name of Taluk
Kakolu Hobly		Name of Hobli Sammut or Hagane
Vode Hoge (pure village		Name of Sarvamanya Hobli or Kayam Subba village
Kunnur Kasaday		Name of Inamdar Jodidar or Kayamgubtudar
nil		Documents relied upon
nil		Witnesses Examined
I named are said not entitled to Sadar Revenue but to 1/5th of fresh Jamma rent up to the Year 1836. Fasly inclusive and to enjoy the rent for the succeeding Year		Further Remarks

of Taluk to a clause 6 Hobly Revenue
Assessment Commissioner

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 56-07

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 3963

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SR)

the 190

Date of issue 11 May/08

Signed by MC Venkatachar

READ— Letter no 8842.8 15/4/08 from the Excise Commr in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Closure of the Toddy Tree of Puri Thimmegowda Village Kakole Hobli Doddaballapur Taluk - Bangalore District

ORDER THEREON—No.

H 5845-6 dt 11th May/08

Read the Report of Toddy & Excise Proceedings

over

SUBJECT

GPB—10,000—10-4-06

has not been conceded
to the Respondents
by Samador at the
Grace Settlement
Mrs Grace not also
entertained the
rights to the Goods
are therefore of opinion
that 4/5 of the Gross
Premium under
Pol 4 in Gp. No
2074-83/Pt.166-92 g
2/8/07 is all that
they are entitled to

7 [illegible] 30/4/08

Pmil

Note to Des/Pol clerk
Please debit the
Calendon the
file

for Mr Secy
Director

To the Excise Comptroller
the Record
the Comptroller

3 copies made

Secy 29-4-08

7

RC 9/5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 11th May 1908.

READ—

Letter No. 8842, dated 15th April 1908, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Ittigolupura village, Kakolu hobli, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

No. FL. 5845-6—~~L. S.~~ 56-02-4, DATED BANGALORE, 11TH MAY 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—The item of toddy excise revenue has not been conceded to the Inamdars either by sannad or at the Inam Settlement. They have not also substantiated their right to it. Government are therefore of opinion that $\frac{1}{6}$th of the grove farm rent under Rule 4 in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, is all that they are entitled to.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the record.

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C. P. S.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 11th May 1908

No. Fl. 5845-6
S. R. 56-02-4

Disallowing the Inamdar's claim to the Toddy Excise Revenue of Jodi Ittigolupura village, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

GPB—29-5-08—200